





### Introduction

Reports of increased crime — whether backed by evidence or merely anecdotal — ought to lead anyone who cares about youth justice to worry. In the late 1980s and early 1990s, increases in youth offending and changes in policy led to vastly more teenagers sent to adult prisons and jails as well as juvenile facilities. Pundits, ignorant of the harshness already embedded in the system, claimed even more incarceration was needed or teen crime would only accelerate.<sup>1</sup>

In those years, constituents' fears led and politicians followed. Today, we have ample evidence — evidence that 1990s leaders did not — that harsh responses to teens' poor decisions fail. Teenagers make bad choices because they're teenagers. They're immature. They're impulsive. They're unduly influenced by their peers. But what excuses do adults have when they, too, prove themselves swayed by media narratives and cherry-picked anecdotes?

With the benefits of hindsight, we can see the predicted carnage did not arrive; instead, youth offending began a long decline. Drops in youth arrests then coincided with drops in youth incarceration. Over the course of the 21st century, locking up fewer kids hasn't resulted in more crime; it's been followed by less crime.

## **A Better Approach**

The current era of juvenile justice reform is built around a better understanding of adolescent development, limiting out-of-home placement and keeping more young people out of criminal courts designed for adults. More than half of states have redefined their juvenile justice systems' statutorial purposes since 2000, mostly away from punitive visions.<sup>2</sup>

These aren't new ideas, nor are they particularly innovative. Decades of research, along with documentation of the horrors of youth placement, confirm that America locks up too many of its young people.<sup>3</sup> No other country does so nearly as often.<sup>4</sup>

Over the course of the 21st century, locking up fewer kids hasn't resulted in more crime; it's been followed by less crime.





The commonsense vision is often aspirational — the system's footprint remains enormous, and indefensible racial and ethnic disparities pervade<sup>5</sup> — though successes are plentiful. There are far fewer court-involved youth today than 25 years ago,<sup>6</sup> and far fewer harmful facilities in operation.<sup>7</sup>

The history of justice reform, marked by waves and retreats barely connected to actual teen offending, reveals how precarious it can be. Given the growing political rhetoric that flame public fears about crime and criticize reform, is the current era of reform politically sustainable?

# The Rise and Fall of Youth Incarceration

The mid-1970s, the start of the era of mass incarceration, also witnessed the passage of the federal Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention Act (JJDPA) in 1974, which established the Office of Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention (OJJDP). The JJDPA was built on the understanding that "youth who offend should be treated differently and separately from adults who offend, that juvenile offending is preventable, and that youthful offenders should receive individualized treatment and services." The establishment of an autonomous office symbolized heightened concern over a flawed system.

The JJDPA fit neatly in with a set of due process improvements. That era started with the Supreme Court's *In re Gault*<sup>11</sup> ruling that rejected a paternalistic vision of juvenile justice that believed courts and juvenile detention centers could supplant a child's own parents, even for misbehavior as trivial as crank phone calls. Prior to the JJDPA's passage, a very high proportion of those who were in facilities were accused of nothing more than a status offense.<sup>12, 13</sup> Experts described a consensus limiting the training schools and youth prisons for the most serious and violent cases.<sup>14</sup>

Twenty years later, with youth offending and arrests on the rise, <sup>15</sup> many believed the juvenile justice system's alleged leniency was to blame and that juvenile courts were incapable of addressing the problems. Political scientist John Dilulio predicted a "demographic crime bomb" of "remorseless super-predators" and (along

with urging more religious piety) argued that the nation "will have little choice but to pursue genuine get-tough law-enforcement strategies against the super-predators." <sup>16</sup>

Contrary to his imagined lenient system, Dilulio's wish had already been granted. There were about 4,000 people under 18 in adult prisons and jails as of 1985, a count that more than tripled by 1995 -- a massive increase in years that youth arrests grew 36%. More than six out of every ten incarcerated youths were confined in an overcrowded facility.

Other data suggest criminalization of adolescence was already underway as well. True, arrests for the most serious offenses<sup>19</sup> grew 79% from 1985 to 1995. But those increases were matched or exceeded by increases in youth arrests for disorderly conduct (up 84%), curfew and loitering (up 84%), and drug offenses, (up 110%), timeless hallmarks of youth that are significantly (and thankfully!) more common than violent offenses.<sup>20</sup> Then as now, many arrests reflected law enforcement's and politicians' choices to criminalize adolescence rather than adolescents' criminal behaviors, particularly when the adolescents were Black or brown.<sup>21</sup>

Throughout the 80s and 90s, states passed laws to encourage more placements, built cruel boot camps, and sent more youth into adult courts, jails, and prisons.<sup>22</sup> Youthfulness, instead of being seen as a rationale for temperance, was argued as a reason for fear. In 1994, seeking to execute Christopher Simmons, Missouri prosecutors argued, "Seventeen years old. Isn't that scary? Doesn't that scare you? Mitigating? Quite the contrary I submit. Quite the contrary."<sup>23</sup>

#### **A Turned Tide**

In 2001, with crime falling, Dilulio publicly renounced his super-predator theory, recognizing its many, many flaws.24 Christopher Simmons's death sentence was over-turned by 2005's Supreme Court, which found the death penalty to be a disproportionate punishment for people under 18-years immaturity diminishes their culpability, as does their susceptibility to outside pressures and influences.<sup>25</sup> That same year, the Campaign for Youth Justice was founded26 to protect youth from the ravages of the adult criminal justice system, and succeeded "raise-the-age" campaigns in states from in its Massachu-





setts to Michigan to Missouri and beyond. The Annie E. Casey Foundation and MacArthur Foundation led the donor community with a long-term investment to find and fund new, common-sense, non-carceral solutions.<sup>27</sup>

Youth offending dropped during these years, bolstering the impact of the reforms. Returning 16- and 17-year olds to youth-serving courts cost far less than policymakers had anticipated. <sup>28</sup> Self-reported survey data showed less criminal behavior from high schoolers, reflected in indicators such as fights <sup>29</sup> and illicit drug use. <sup>30</sup> From 2000 to 2020, youth arrests fell 80% and youth placements in the juvenile system fell 77%. <sup>31</sup>

Still, the complex maze of harsh laws and policies passed in the 1980s and 1990s has proven stubbornly difficult to unwind even as youth offending has fallen dramatically since those years. Many states rolled back their laws, but many others remain unchanged.

## **Lessons for the Adult System**

This century's rapid drops in youth incarceration are hard to replicate for adults. Youths under supervision (whether probation or placement) typically age out of the jurisdiction of their state's juvenile courts at age  $21.^{32}$  Emptying a bathtub requires opening the drain and turning off the water, and opening the drain is vastly easier in the juvenile system as young people age out of its jurisdiction.

In the adult system, extreme sentences, such as life without the realistic possibility of parole, leave far too many people locked up for decades-old crimes, even when those crimes were committed in adolescence.<sup>33</sup> On the other hand, teenagers — as long as they are not sentenced as if they were adults — age out of juvenile supervision. The feedback loop is much faster, allowing for

rapid decarceration as reforms take hold and offending drops.

Despite key differences between criminal and juvenile justice, the youth system's successes point to a clear pathway to close the book on adult mass incarceration, as well: less reliance on incarceration initially and more opportunities for release for those who are incarcerated.

#### What's Next?

Political pressures may force an end to this era of effective reform. For years, polling has consistently found most Americans believed crime was increasing (even as it fell), so it's not clear that seeing that proportion increase from 65% to 75%<sup>34</sup> ought to decimate what's working. But new laws will encourage more pre-trial detention of arrested youth (in the District of Columbia<sup>35</sup> and Kentucky<sup>36</sup>) and others will build more youth prisons (in Louisiana,<sup>37</sup> Tennessee,<sup>38</sup> and Texas,<sup>39</sup> for example). The Annie E. Casey Foundation is finding longer lengths of stay — for youth of color — at hundreds of detention centers across the country.<sup>40</sup> We know those ideas won't work; they've been tried already. For 50 years, politics has been a relentless opponent.

We know that incarceration damages both public safety and the well-being of the incarcerated child.<sup>41</sup> We know that charging youth in adult courts makes it more likely that they will reoffend.<sup>42</sup> We know that teen curfews<sup>43</sup> and other aggressive policing<sup>44</sup> do not reduce crime and probably increase it.

The leaders of the 1990s did not know these things with certainty, but after mass incarceration's 50 years of failures, we can all look at the evidence: a shrunken system is better for us all.

Despite key differences between criminal and juvenile justice, the youth system's successes point to a clear pathway to close the book on adult mass incarceration.





## **Endnotes**

- 1. Dilulio, J. (1995, Dec.) *The Coming of the Super-Predators.* Weekly Standard.
- 2. *OJJDP Statistical Briefing Book* (2022, Apr 18). . https://www.ojjdp.gov/ojstatbb/structure\_process/qa04205. asp?qaDate=2019.
- 3. Mendel, R. (2023, Mar.) Why Youth Incarceration Fails: An Updated Review of the Evidence. The Sentencing Project.
- Nowak, M. (2020). The United Nations Global Study on Children Deprived of Liberty: Executive Summary. The United Nations Global Study on Children Deprived of Liberty.
- 5. Rovner, J. (2022, Mar.) *Too Many Locked Doors: The scope of youth confinement is vastly understated.* The Sentenc-ing Project.
- 6. Sickmund, M., Sladky, A., and Kang, W. (2022). *Easy Access to Juvenile Court Statistics: 1985-2020*. Office of Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention.
- Puzzanchera, C., Hockenberry, S., Sladky, T.J., and Kang, W. (2022). "Juvenile Residential Facility Census Databook." Office of Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention. https://www.ojjdp.gov/ojstatbb/jrfcdb/
- 8. Ghandnoosh, N. (2022, Mar.) Ending 50 Years of Mass Incarceration: Urgent Reform Needed to Protect Future Generations. The Sentencing Project.
- 9. Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention Act of 1974. 42 U.S.C. § 5601 et seq.
- On Assessing Juvenile Justice Reform, Committee on Law and Justice, Division of Behavioral Sciences and Education & Bonnie, R., Johnson, R. L., Chemers, B. M., Schuck, J. A., (Eds.) (2013). Reforming Juvenile Justice (p.318). The National Academies Press. https://doi. org/10.17226/14685
- 11. In re Gault, 387 U.S. 1. (1967).
- 12. Status offenses are behaviors that are not crimes but are prohibited under the law. Common status offenses are truancy, violating curfew, underage drinking, running away, and incorrigibility.
- 13. Anderson, W. (1977). Statement of William J. Anderson,
  Deputy Director, General Government Division Before the
  Subcommittee on Juvenile Delinquency Senate Committee on the Judiciary On Deinstitutionalization of Status
  Offenders. United States General Accounting Office.
  https://www.gao.gov/assets/103526.pdf
- 14. Scwartz, M.I., Steketee, W.M., Butts, A.J. (1991). "Business as Usual: Juvenile Justice During the 1980s," *Notre Dame J.L. Ethics & Pub. Pol'y* 377 (5): 377-397. https://scholarship.law.nd.edu/ndjlepp/vol5/iss2/6https://doi.org/10.1177/0011128790036004005

- 15. OJJDP Statistical Briefing Book. Trends in the number of arrests by age group for All offenses. Available: https://www.ojjdp.gov/ojstatbb/crime/ucr\_trend.asp?table\_in=1. Released on July 08, 2022.
- 16. Dilulio, J. (1995, Dec.) see note 1.
- 17. Rovner, J. (2023, May) *Youth Justice by the Numbers*. The Sentencing Project.
- 18. Stanfield, R. *The JDAI Story: Building a Better Juvenile Detention System.* Page 8. Annie E. Casey Foundation.
- 19. The FBI's Violent Crime Index, consisting of murder, rape, robbery, and aggravated assault.
- 20. Office of Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention. (2020). Statistical Briefing Book: Law Enforcement & Juvenile Crime. Office of Justice Programs.
- 21. Henning, Kristin N., "Criminalizing Normal Adolescent Behavior in Communities of Color: The Role of Prosecutors in Juvenile Justice Reform" (2013). George-town Law Faculty Publications and Other Works. 1009. https://scholarship.law.georgetown.edu/facpub/1009
- 22. United States General Accounting Office, General Government Division. (1995). Juvenile Justice: Juveniles Processed in Criminal Court and Case Dispositions. https://www.gao.gov/assets/ggd-95-170.pdf
- 23. Quoted in *Roper v. Simmons*, 543 U.S. 551 at 558 (2005).
- 24. Becker, E. (2001). *As Ex-Theorist on Young 'Superpredators,' Bush Aide Has Regrets.* The New York Times.
- 25. Roper v. Simmons, 543 U.S. 551. (2005).
- 26. Mistrett, M. (2020). *15 Years of Impact: How We Won.* Campaign for Youth Justice.
- 27. Reforming Juvenile Justice (p.41). See note 10.
- 28. Justice Policy Institute. (2017, Mar.) Raise the Age.
- 29. Center for Disease Control and Prevention. (2021). *High School Youth Risk Behavior Survey Data*.
- 30. Center for Disease Control and Prevention. (2021). *High School Youth Risk Behavior Survey Data*.
- 31. Rovner, J. (2023, May) see note 17.
- 32. National Center for Juvenile Justice. *Jurisdictional Boundaries*. Juvenile Justice Geography, Policy, Practice, Statistics.
- 33. Bryer, S. (2023). *Crimes Against Humanity The Mass Incarceration of Children in the United States.* Human Rights for Kids.
- 34. Gallup, Inc. (2023). Crime: Is there more crime in the U.S. than there was a year ago, or less?





- 35. Council of the District of Columbia. (2023). Prioritizing Public Safety Emergency Amendment Act of 2023. (Act 25-188).
- 36. Kentucky General Assembly. (2023). An Act relating to juvenile justice and making an appropriation therefore (House Bill 3). https://apps.legislature.ky.gov/record/23rs/hb3.html
- Kentucky General Assembly. (2023). An Act to revise and correct the Kentucky Revised Statutes (House Bill 357). https://apps.legislature.ky.gov/record/23rs/hb357. html; Kentucky General Assembly. (2023) An Act relating to public protection (House Bill 359). https://apps.legislature.ky.gov/record/23rs/hb359.html
- 38. Stockard, S. (2023, Sep.) Department of Children's Services spending \$400M on intake, juvenile detention projects. Tennessee Lookout.
- 39. McCullough, J. (2023). Facing youth prison crisis, Texas lawmakers opt to build new facilities and funnel more kids to adult system. Texas Tribune.
- 40. Annie E. Casey Foundation. (2023). *Changing Course in Youth Detention: Reversing Widening Gaps by Race and Place.*
- 41. Mendel, R. (2023, Mar.) see note 3.

- 42. Center for Disease Control and Prevention. (2007). "Effects on Violence of Laws and Policies Facilitating the Transfer of Youth from the Juvenile to the Adult Justice System." CDC: Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report 56(9). https://www.cdc.gov/mmwr/pdf/rr/rr5609.pdf
- 43. Wilson, D., Gill, C., Olaghere, A., McClure, D. (2016).
  Juvenile Curfew Effects on Criminal Behavior and Victimization: A Systematic Review. *Campbell Systematic Reviews* 12(1), 1-97. https://doi.org/10.4073/csr.2016.3
- 44. McGlynn-Wright, A., Crutchfield, R., Skinner, M., Haggerty, K. (2022). The Usual, Racialized, Suspects: The Consequence of Police Contacts with Black and White Youth on Adult Arrest. *Social Problems* 69(2), 299-315. https://doi.org/10.1093/socpro/spaa042





The Sentencing Project 1705 DeSales Street NW, 8th Floor Washington, D.C. 20036 (202) 628-0871

sentencingproject.org twitter.com/sentencingproj facebook.com/thesentencingproject instagram.com/thesentencingproject This policy brief was written by Josh Rovner, Director of Youth Justice at The Sentencing Project.

It is part of our campaign, 50 Years and a Wake Up: Ending The Mass Incarceration Crisis In America. The campaign raises awareness about the dire state of the criminal legal system in the country, the devastating impact of incarceration on communities and families, and proposes more effective crime prevention strategies for our country.

Published October 2023.

The Sentencing Project advocates for effective and humane responses to crime that minimize imprisonment and criminalization of youth and adults by promoting racial, ethnic, economic, and gender justice.